

# ARRA EETT 21<sup>st</sup> Century Classrooms

Competitive Grant Training

Application Deadline  
Feb 5<sup>th</sup>, 2010 - (5 pm – MST)



# Webinar Objective

- Clarify Grant Focus
- Provide Application Details
- Answer Questions



# Grant Purpose

- Helps fulfill Superintendent Horne's vision for supporting 1:1 projects
- Leverage technology to improve students' academic performance,
- Accelerate school improvement and reform efforts through the use of technology,
- Assist every student in becoming technologically literate by the end of the 8th grade.



# Eligibility

- Defined in USDOE EETT guidance
  - Among highest LEAs in percentage or number of students in poverty
  - Have school in improvement status or have substantial need for help in acquiring technology
- LEAs with 219 or more students in poverty
- LEAs with 33% or more of students in poverty
- Over 91% of students in Arizona represented



# Partnerships Eligibility

- LEAs not on the eligibility list can partner with eligible LEAs
- Eligible LEAs can partner together
- No extra points will be awarded for creating a partnership
- Not an area of emphasis for this competitive grant RFP



# Grant Writing Tips

- Write to be read by a busy grant reviewer
- Not a Ph.D. Thesis
- Don't make assumptions about prior knowledge
- Explain acronyms



# Application Overview

1. Cover Page
2. Executive Summary (5 pts)
3. Project Design Detail Narrative (50 pts)
4. Accountability and Evaluation (20 pts)
5. Budget (15 pts)
6. Appendices A – E
  - Appendix D (10 points)



# Cover Page

- Use Provided Form
- Include all impacted schools
  - You may need to cut and paste
- Include all required information
- Signed by Superintendent or equivalent





# Part 2: Executive Summary

- 5 points
- 3 page limit
- Summarize project scope, intent, and significant elements
- Suggestion: Write this part last



# Part 3: Project Detail

- 50 points
- Covers design and implementation of project
- Reflect guidance from project design instructions



# Absolute Priorities

- **ONLY TWO**
  - *Close student achievement gaps*
  - *Increase student technology literacy*
- Primary focus – students of highest need
- Specific sets of students (grade or subject)
- Multiple sites can be targeted (spanning grades or subjects at multiple schools)
- Page 6 of RFP



# Student Achievement Gap

- Primary goal of this grant
- 5 bonus points available for selecting students from a school in NCLB school improvement status or with a label of Underperforming or below



# Student Achievement Gap

- Explain and provide data about achievement gaps
- Provide evidence project focuses on students of the highest need
- Connect grant expenditures and activities to district/school plans
- Include relevant data sets in Appendix A & refer to data throughout narrative



# Technology Literacy

- **Grant proposals must include:**
  - Student Tech Literacy Assessment
  - 2 collaborative project-based learning experiences
  - 2009 & 2010 Speak-Up Participation
    - 2009 survey has been extended to Jan 23<sup>rd</sup>
    - Register District for Speak-Up
- [http://www.tomorrow.org/speakup/speakup\\_surveys.html](http://www.tomorrow.org/speakup/speakup_surveys.html)
- Page 7 of RFP



# Instructional Strategies

- Identify instructional strategies of focus
- Align closely with identified strategies for consolidated plans
- Additional strategies as appropriate (see Sample Technology Strategies at ADE Ed Tech website)
- Connect with outcomes
- Page 7-8



# Professional Development

- Required Elements
  - Intel® Teach program participation
  - Collaborative planning
    - May require adjustment in teaching schedule
    - Include in administrator letter of commitment
  - Teacher Technology Proficiency Assessment
  - Sustained Professional Development (think PLCs with planned, regular PD opportunities)
  - Technology Integration Matrix
- Page 8-9 of RFP & Appendix C





# Instructional Support

- Required Elements
  - Project Peer Coaching Facilitator
  - Minimum of one (1) Peer Coach per selected site
  - Collaborative Planning Opportunities
  - Puget Sound Peer Coaching Model
  
- Page 9 of RFP



# Technical Support

- Provide detail about support plan for impacted classrooms
- Page 9 of RFP



# Hardware

- Focus is on providing interactive classroom tools
  - Emphasis on 1:1 environment
  - Individual Computing Devices
  - Connect hardware to identified instructional strategies
- 
- Page 9-10 of RFP



# Individual Computing Devices

- Page 41 of State Tech Plan include some recommendations for grade levels
- Consider non-traditional laptops
  - Netbooks
  - Other portable devices
- Page 10 of RFP



# Digital Content

- Focus on achievement gap
  - Integrate resources from IDEAL, Thinkfinity, and Discovery Streaming
  - Support instructional strategies & teachers
- 
- Page 10 of RFP



# Infrastructure

- See AZ Ed Tech Plan (page 41) & SETDA whitepaper
- Describe available bandwidth & how it will meet the needs of grant
- Funding for wireless network upgrades only
- Page 11 of RFP



# Policies

- Include existing policies or examples of collaborative tools being used
  - Insurance/Damage Plan
  - Consistent with instructional strategies & activities
- 
- Page 11-12 of RFP



# Capacity

- 5 additional points are available
- Provide evidence within LEA of planning and ability to implement 1:1 learning environments
- Can reference information provided in other sections
- Page 12 of RFP





# Timeline

- Spring / Summer 2010 – Preparation
  - Fall 2010 – Implementation
  - Quarterly Reports & Conference Calls
  - Up to 3 face-to-face grant meetings
- 
- Page 13 of RFP



# Part 4: Evaluation and Accountability

- Coordinate with absolute priorities and instructional strategies
- Set definable targets
- Identify internal process evaluation methods and procedures for measuring progress
- Page 12 & Appendix E of RFP



# Part 5: Budget

- Max Award Amount = \$500,000
  - Max per Student Award = \$1,000 per student
  - 20% additional funding should be added from non-21<sup>st</sup> Century Classroom grant funding sources
- 
- Page 14 of RFP



# Explanation of Budget Requirements

## Per Eligible Student

**\$1,000** max per eligible student

- \$ 100 (10%) for required set-aside  
for evaluations

- \$ 250 (25%) for required PD

+\$ 200 (20%) LEA responsibility from  
other funding sources (Title I, Title II-  
D, Title III, M&O, etc. ~ excluding E-  
rate)

**\$ 850** per eligible student for other  
costs

## Cost v. Award/Allocation

**200 eligible students**

**\$200,000** cost of project

- \$20,000 (10%) for required set-  
aside for evaluations

- \$50,000 (25%) for required PD

+\$40,000 (20%) LEA responsibility from  
other funding sources (Title I, Title II-D,  
Title III, M&O, etc.~ excluding E-rate)

**\$ 170,000** total for other costs

# 10% Set-Aside

- Student Literacy Assessment
- Teacher Technology Proficiency Assessment
- Grant workshops travel
  - 2 people (grant may pay for additional)
  - 2 days
  - Max of 3x during grant period
- Program Evaluation



# Appendices A-E

- **Appendix A: Data Used to Determine Targeted Achievement Gap**
- **Appendix B: Staffing Analysis (Fill in chart)**
- **Appendix C: Professional Development (Fill in chart)**
- **Appendix D: Support and Commitment Letters (10 points)**
- **Appendix E: Accountability & Evaluation Matrix (Fill in chart)**



# Letters of Commitment

- Letters of commitment need to be obtained from the following groups:
  - Superintendent or Charter Holder
  - LEA Business Officer or equivalent
  - LEA Federal Programs Director
  - LEA IT Director
  - LEA Curriculum Director or equivalent
  - LEA Educational Technology Director or Trainer or equivalent
  - School Principal(s) from participating school sites
  - All participating teachers from each site



# Letter of Commitment

- *His or her role in the pilot project.*
- *His or her commitment to the project activities to achieve defined goals.*
- *Participation in accountability/evaluation activities.*
- ***Teachers*** *commitment must specifically include attendance at Intel® Teach training, completing the pre and post assessment for teacher technology proficiency, and administering the pre and post assessment for student technology literacy.*
- ***Superintendent or Charter Holder*** *must commit to provide 20% of project funding from other funding sources.*





# Resources

- **Review NASTID– SETDA Papers on combining Title I & EETT dollars to maximize impact (see links in Application Instructions)**
- Links to Documents in Application
- Posted to ADE Ed Tech ARRA page
- FAQs Posted to Ed Tech ARRA webpage
- <http://www.azed.gov/technology/arra.asp>



# Tech Planning/Standard RFP

- **Separate, distinct RFP Opportunity focused on tech planning and tech standards alignment**
- Purpose
  - Revise tech plans
  - Create learning activities for new standard
  - Embed in core content activities



# Tech Planning/Standard RFP

- Released shortly after the new year
- Available to top 50% of districts according to poverty
- Max funding - Approx \$1-2 per student in poverty for each LEA
- Encourage consortium groups to increase allocation total and combine efforts
- Expand tech literacy efforts from 21<sup>st</sup> Century Classrooms grant



# Submission of Application

- Must be delivered by 5 pm on Feb 5th
- Do not use USPS (United States Postal Service)
- ADE will look at Compliance Checklist pg 2 in Directions
- 30 pages total – not including tables and Appendices
- 1 ½ line spacing, 12 pt font, 1”



# Questions

